### FEDERAL OFFICES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JOHN MCCAIN AND SARAH PALIN</td>
<td>Republican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARACK OBAMA AND JOE BIDEN</td>
<td>Democratic-Farmer-Labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYNTHIA MCVINNIE AND ROSA CLEMENTE</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROGER CALERO AND ALISON KENNEDY</td>
<td>Social Workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RALPH NADER AND MATT GONZALEZ</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOB BARR AND WAYNE A. ROOT</td>
<td>Libertarian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHUCK BALDWIN AND DARRELL CASTLE</td>
<td>Constitutional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### STATE OFFICES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JAY COLE</td>
<td>District 7B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALLAN KEHR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROGER J REINERT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYNTHIA MCVINNIE AND ROSA CLEMENTE</td>
<td>Clean Water, Wildlife, Cultural Heritage and Natural Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROGER CALERO AND ALISON KENNEDY</td>
<td>Constitution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RALPH NADER AND MATT GONZALEZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOB BARR AND WAYNE A. ROOT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHUCK BALDWIN AND DARRELL CASTLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COUNTY OFFICES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JAY COLE</td>
<td>District 7B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALLAN KEHR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROGER J REINERT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYNTHIA MCVINNIE AND ROSA CLEMENTE</td>
<td>Clean Water, Wildlife, Cultural Heritage and Natural Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROGER CALERO AND ALISON KENNEDY</td>
<td>Constitution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RALPH NADER AND MATT GONZALEZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOB BARR AND WAYNE A. ROOT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHUCK BALDWIN AND DARRELL CASTLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SOUTH SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT SUPERVISOR DISTRICT 4 VOTE FOR ONE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JAY COLE</td>
<td>District 7B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALLAN KEHR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROGER J REINERT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYNTHIA MCVINNIE AND ROSA CLEMENTE</td>
<td>Clean Water, Wildlife, Cultural Heritage and Natural Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROGER CALERO AND ALISON KENNEDY</td>
<td>Constitution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RALPH NADER AND MATT GONZALEZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOB BARR AND WAYNE A. ROOT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHUCK BALDWIN AND DARRELL CASTLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CITY QUESTIONS

To vote Y or N, write in your mark in the oval and then fill in the oval next to the word "YES" or "NO." If the word "NO" is incorrectly marked, your ballot will constitute a write-in for the word "YES." If you fill in either the word "YES" or "NO," your vote will be counted.

### PROPOSED CONFLICT OF INTEREST CHARTER AMENDMENT

Should section 30 of the 1970 home rule charter of the city of Duluth, as amended, allow a citizen to request that the council appoint special prosecutor to prosecute violations of the charter's conflict of interest provisions when the city attorney has determined that there is no probable cause to do so and to require that the council to decide whether or not to do so within 60 days of receipt of such request?

- **Yes**
- **No**

**A "Yes" Vote Is in Favor of Adding a Process Requiring the City Council to Act on Citizen Complaints Pertaining to Alleged Conflicts of Interest. A "No" Vote Is a Vote Against Adding a Process Requiring the City Council to Act on Citizen Complaints Pertaining to Alleged Conflicts of Interest.**

### VOTE FRONT AND BACK OF BALLOT

- **12680 CITY OF DULUTH PRECINCT 38**
- **0295 CITY OF DULUTH PRECINCT 31**
- **0000 CITY OF DULUTH PRECINCT 32**
INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To vote, completely fill in the oval(s) next to your choice(s) like this: ●

SCHOOL DISTRICT QUESTIONS SPECIAL ELECTION INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 709 (DULUTH)

To vote for a question, completely fill in the oval next to the word "YES" for that question. To vote against a question, completely fill in the oval next to the word "NO" for that question.

SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT QUESTION 1 RENEWAL OF ADDITIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM REVENUE AUTHORIZATION

The existing local referendum authority for Independent School District No. 709, Duluth, is expiring in 2008. The school board has proposed to renew the existing general education revenue of $265,650 per pupil. The proposed referendum revenue authorization would be applicable for five years unless otherwise revoked or reduced as provided by law. Shall the renewal of the referendum revenue authorization proposed by the Board of Independent School District No. 709 be approved?

YES
NO

BY VOTING "YES" ON THIS BALLOT QUESTION, YOU ARE VOTING TO EXTEND AN EXISTING PROPERTY TAX REFERENDUM THAT IS SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE.

SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT QUESTION 2 APPROVAL OF ADDITIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM REVENUE AUTHORIZATION

Provides that ballot question No. 1 is approved, the Board of Independent School District No. 709, Duluth, has proposed to increase its general education revenue by an additional $304.40 per pupil for a total of $1,360 per pupil. The proposed referendum revenue authorization of $1,360 per pupil would increase each year by the rate of inflation and would be applicable for five years unless otherwise revoked or reduced as provided by law. Shall the increase in the referendum revenue authorization proposed by the Board of Independent School District No. 709 be approved?

YES
NO

BY VOTING "YES" ON THIS BALLOT QUESTION, YOU ARE VOTING FOR A PROPERTY TAX INCREASE.

SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT QUESTION 3 APPROVAL OF ADDITIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM REVENUE AUTHORIZATION

Provides that ballot question No. 1 and 2 are approved, the Board of Independent School District No. 709, Duluth, has proposed to increase its general education revenue by an additional $500.00 per pupil. The proposed referendum revenue authorization of $500 per pupil would increase each year by the rate of inflation and would be applicable for five years unless otherwise revoked or reduced as provided by law. Shall the increase in the referendum revenue authorization proposed by the Board of Independent School District No. 709 be approved?

YES
NO

BY VOTING "YES" ON THIS BALLOT QUESTION, YOU ARE VOTING FOR A PROPERTY TAX INCREASE.

COURT OF APPEALS

JUDGE 9 VOTE FOR ONE

ROGER M. KLAPHAKE Incumbent
write-in or any

JUDGE 10 VOTE FOR ONE

HARRIET LANSING Incumbent
write-in or any

JUDGE 15 VOTE FOR ONE

KEVIN G. ROSS Incumbent
write-in or any

6TH DISTRICT COURT

JUDGE 1 VOTE FOR ONE

DAVID E. ACKERSON Incumbent
write-in or any

JUDGE 3 VOTE FOR ONE

ROBERT E. MACAULAY Incumbent
write-in or any

JUDGE 4 VOTE FOR ONE

DAVID M. JOHNSON Incumbent
write-in or any

JUDGE 7 VOTE FOR ONE

GARY J. PAGLIACETTI Incumbent
write-in or any

JUDGE 9 VOTE FOR ONE

ERIC HYLDEN Incumbent
write-in or any

JUDGE 12 VOTE FOR ONE

SALLY L. TARROWSKI Incumbent
write-in or any

JUDGE 15 VOTE FOR ONE

DALE A WOLF Incumbent
write-in or any

VOTE FRONT AND BACK OF BALLOT

U.S. Senate

Governor

Secretary of State

Treasurer

Comptroller

学前教育

Insufficient marks

Franklin

Incident marks

Duluth
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