## STATE GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS FOR VOTERS
To vote, completely fill in the oval(s) next to your choice(s) like this: 

### FEDERAL OFFICES
- **PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT**
  - **JOHN MCCAIN AND SARAH PALIN** Republican
  - **BARACK OBAMA AND JOE BIDEN** Democrat-Farmer-Labor
- **CYNTHIA MCKINNEY AND ROSA CLEMENTE** Green
- **RÖGER CALERG AND ALYSON KENNEDY** Socialist tickets
- **RALPH NADER AND MATT GONZALEZ** Independent
- **BOB BARR AND WAYNE A. ROOT** Libertarian
- **CHUCK BALDWIN AND DANIEL CASTLE** Constitution

### STATE OFFICES
- **STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 7A**
  - **RYAN STAUBER** Republican
  - **THOMAS HUNTLEY** Democrat-Farmer-Labor
- **CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT**
  - Failure to vote on a constitutional amendment will have the same effect as voting no for the amendment.
  - To vote against a proposed constitutional amendment, completely fill in the oval next to the word "YES" for that question. To vote against a proposed constitutional amendment, completely fill in the oval next to the word "NO" for that question.

### COUNTY OFFICES
- **SOUTH SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT SUPERVISOR DISTRICT 4**
  - **HAYLEY SANDSTROM** Write-in, Faith
  - **DAVID T. DAVIS** Write-in, Faith

### CITY QUESTIONS
- **PROPOSED CONFLICT OF INTEREST CHARTER AMENDMENT**
  - Should section 30 of the 1970 home rule charter of the city of Duluth be amended to allow a citizen to request that the council appoint a special prosecutor to prosecute violations of the charter's conflict of interest provisions when the city attorney has determined that there is no probable cause to so do and to require the council to decide whether or not to do so within 60 days of receipt of such request?
  - **YES**
  - **NO**

### CLEAN WATER, WILDLIFE, CULTURAL HERITAGE AND NATURAL AREAS
- Shall the Minnesota Constitution be amended to dedicate funding to protect our drinking water sources, to protect, enhance, and restore our wetlands, prairies, forests, and fish, game, and wildlife habitat; to preserve our parks and cultural heritage by support for parks and trails; and to protect, enhance, and restore our lakes, rivers, streams, and groundwater by increasing the sales and use tax rate beginning July 1, 2008, by three-eighths of one percent on taxable sales until the year 2024?
  - **YES**
  - **NO**

### VOTE FRONT AND BACK OF BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To vote, completely fill in the oval(s) next to your choices like this: ☑

SCHOOL DISTRICT QUESTIONS
SPECIAL ELECTION
INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT
NO. 709 (DULUTH)

To vote "YES" or "NO" on the following question, you must indicate your choice completely in the oval next to the word "YES" or "NO" for that question.

SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT QUESTION 1
RENEWAL OF ADDITIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM REVENUE AUTHORIZATION

The existing five-year referendum authority for Independent School District No. 709, Duluth, is expiring in 2020. The Board has proposed to renew its existing general education revenue of $365.65 per pupil. The proposed referendum revenue authorization will be applicable for five years unless otherwise amended or repealed as provided by law. Shall the renewal of the referendum revenue authorization proposed by the Board of Independent School District No. 709 be approved?

☑ YES

☑ NO

BY VOTING "YES" ON THIS BALLOT QUESTION, YOU ARE VOTING "TO EXTEND AN EXISTING PROPERTY TAX REFERENDUM THAT IS SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE

SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT QUESTION 2
APPROVAL OF ADDITIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM REVENUE AUTHORIZATION

Provided that ballot question No. 1 is approved, the Board of Independent School District No. 709, Duluth, has proposed to raise its general education revenue by an additional $255.40 per pupil for a total of $621.05 per pupil. The proposed referendum revenue authorization of $760 per pupil would increase each year by the rate of inflation and would be applicable for five years unless otherwise amended or repealed as provided by law. Shall the increase in the referendum revenue authorization proposed by the Board of Independent School District No. 709 be approved?

☑ YES

☑ NO

BY VOTING "YES" ON THIS BALLOT QUESTION, YOU ARE VOTING FOR A PROPERTY TAX INCREASE.

COURT OF APPEALS
JUDGE 9
VOTE FOR ONE

ROGER M. KLAPHAKE
Incumbent

Write-in, if any

JUDGE 10
VOTE FOR ONE

HARRETT LANSING
Incumbent

Write-in, if any

JUDGE 15
VOTE FOR ONE

KEVAN R. ROSS
Incumbent

Write-in, if any

6TH DISTRICT COURT
JUDGE 1
VOTE FOR ONE

DAVID E. ACKERSON
Incumbent

Write-in, if any

JUDGE 3
VOTE FOR ONE

ROBERT L. MACKAY
Incumbent

Write-in, if any

JUDGE 4
VOTE FOR ONE

DAVIS M. JOHNSON
Incumbent

Write-in, if any

JUDGE 7
VOTE FOR ONE

GARY J. FALGARIETTI
Incumbent

Write-in, if any

JUDGE 9
VOTE FOR ONE

ERIC KYLDE
Incumbent

Write-in, if any

JUDGE 12
VOTE FOR ONE

SALLY L. TARNOWSKI
Incumbent

Write-in, if any

JUDGE 15
VOTE FOR ONE

DALE W. WOLF
Incumbent

Write-in, if any

JUDICIAL OFFICES
SUPREME COURT
ASSOCIATE JUSTICE 3
VOTE FOR ONE

PAUL H. ANDERSON
Incumbent

Write-in, if any

TIM VINGELESTAD
Write-in, if any

ASSOCIATE JUSTICE 4
VOTE FOR ONE

LORE SKJERVEN GILDEA
Incumbent

Write-in, if any

DEBORAH HEDLUND
Write-in, if any

COURT OF APPEALS
JUDGE 16
VOTE FOR ONE

OAK GRIFFITH
Incumbent

Write-in, if any

JUDGE 17
VOTE FOR ONE

EDWARD TOUSSANT, JR.
Incumbent

Write-in, if any

JUDGE 8
VOTE FOR ONE

THOMAS J. KALITOWSKI
Incumbent

Write-in, if any

U.S. Senator
Challenged by Mike 1
Challenged by COLDRAN
Challenge reason:

VOTE FRONT AND BACK OF BALLOT